

CBSG Annual Meeting

30 October–2 November 2014 ♦ New Delhi, India



In October, the CBSG headquarters staff and regional network teams traveled to New Delhi, India for the 2014 CBSG Annual Meeting. One hundred and twenty people from 24 countries gathered to discuss the application of the One Plan approach in India and beyond. Our hosts, the Central Zoo Authority, India (CZA) outdid themselves with detailed planning and smooth implementation of the entire event, from the lovely opening reception to the closing dinner under the stars. We are grateful to B.S. Bonal, Brij Gupta, Sally Walker, Sanjay Molur, and the CBSG South Asia staff for making this a meeting to remember.

The three days contained many fine examples of conservation in action around the world, including conservation of gyps vultures in India, linking *in situ*–*ex situ* efforts in greater one-horned rhino conservation, and the focus on integrated conservation in Indian zoos. [Click here to read plenary presentation abstracts.](#)

The participants met in working groups to discuss some of the key issues facing our community and the wildlife we are dedicated to protecting. Examples include:

- **IUCN Asian Species Action Partnership (ASAP)**

The group identified potential flagship/ambassador species that could be used to promote ASAP and discussed processes for developing and marketing ASAP more effectively for greater zoo and aquarium engagement.

- **Species Conservation Toolkit Initiative (SCTI)**

Working group members were introduced to, and invited to provide input on, this initiative. The purpose of the SCTI is to: develop and support the next



generation of population modeling tool developers; continue the evolution of *VORTEX*; and drive ongoing innovation of metamodeling tools for comprehensive, integrated assessments of the many threats affecting wildlife.

- **IUCN Guidelines**

Three working groups received overviews on the application of some new or recently revised IUCN guidelines for reintroduction, *ex situ* management, and wildlife health. A fourth group provided input on the guidelines on management of confiscated animals (currently under revision).

- **India's National Conservation Breeding Program**

This group discussed the main features of the Central Zoo Authority's collaborative conservation breeding program, a joint venture of *in situ* and *ex situ* wildlife managers. The discussion revolved around the policy framework, CZA's strategy towards conservation breeding of endangered species, the prioritization of



the species, and the status and barriers to success of the program.

• Dysfunctional Zoos

Critical components of a “good zoo”—such as an environment that is safe for animals, staff and visitors, basic record keeping, an animal collection plan, and a sound financial plan—were identified, as were the steps required to achieve this standard.

In addition to the long, productive days, we had colorful adventures in Delhi in the evenings. We were treated to shopping at the outdoor market in Dilli Haat, watching (and participating in!) traditional Gujarat dancing, and viewing a spectacular light and sound show at the Red Fort.

One of the highlights of the meeting for me was presenting the first CBSG Chair’s Citation of Excellence to Latha Ravikumar in recognition of her energetic, efficient, and enthusiastic management of the CBSG South Asia office. Since 1991, Latha has been providing tireless support to her colleagues, enabling them to make important and long-lasting improvements to the conservation of South Asian species.

Thanks to all who joined us in New Delhi. We look forward to next year’s CBSG Annual Meeting, hosted by Al Ain Zoo in the United Arab Emirates!

Sincerely,

Dr. Onnie Byers
CBSG Chair



From CBSG South Asia Members

Many CBSG South Asia staff have been involved with CBSG for over 20 years, but obstacles such as finances and scheduling challenges have made it difficult for them to attend past annual meetings. With this year's meeting held in their region, and with the support of members of the CBSG Strategic Committee, several CBSG South Asia colleagues were able to attend and contribute their perspectives and expertise. Below are some of their responses to the meeting.

"I spend most of my year at my field site in the Himalaya and hardly get to attend such events. It was exciting to see so many conservationists all at the same place, learn of their experiences, and share in some very exciting and interesting discussions. As a field worker, I have little practical experience of zoos and therefore the opportunity to sit with the zoo keepers and directors from across the world gave me much needed insight on zoos and captive management." — **Martina Anandam**

"The greatest part of the CBSG meeting was the opportunity to meet up with the experts, scientists, and managers from about 26 countries at one place. It was indeed great to meet all of them: it not only helped me to renew my contacts after many years with some of them, but also provided ways to create new contacts and project initiatives." — **B.A. Daniel**

"I take care of my boss Sally Walker's travel bookings. During September I had asked her about her plans for the CBSG and WAZA Annual Conference that is held in Delhi. At that time she told me that I should also be prepared to go. At first I thought she was joking, as I mostly like to work behind the scenes and don't like to go out. But soon I realized she was serious. I got an award from CBSG for providing support to our technical people for enabling them to make important and long-lasting improvements to the conservation of South Asian species. Sally Madam and Onnie kept the news themselves to give me a surprise, and I don't have words to describe my feelings when Onnie called me to the stage. It was a most valuable gift I got on my birthday." — **Latha G. Ravikumar**

"It was really a wonderful experience to participate in a CBSG meeting. I learned their working group processes, was part of more than one working groups and special lectures, and met people with different experiences. I saw old friends after a long time—one of them I met after 21 years." — **R. Marimuthu**



"Being someone who is currently working on understanding the presence and distribution of the amphibian chytrid fungus in the Western Ghats of India, I have always wanted to reach out to on-ground people involved in ex situ breeding programs, especially in amphibians. This conference offered me one of the best networking opportunities I have ever had and more so to be able to meet kind and humble people despite their phenomenal achievements." — **Keerthi Krutha**

"We met some of the "revolutionaries/rock stars of conservation" (as I like to call them), such as Bob Lacy and Jon Ballou, who have been instrumental in developing the VORTEX model. In addition to this, this experience is especially valuable to me as I was given the opportunity to present the freshwater fish work/projects undertaken by our team in India. This was a golden opportunity as the presentation was met with a lot of encouragement and offers of support. Also, the zoo community came forward to suggest contacts and exchanged information on the problems faced in their region. All in all the experience was extremely enriching and will be put to good use in conservation work/projects that I undertake in India." — **Priyanka Iyer**



Working Group Summary

CBSG and Conservation Genetics Specialist Group Collaboration

Participants

Jonathan Ballou (Convenor), B.A. Daniel, Danny de Man, Dennis Kelly, Keerthi Krutha, Bob Lacy (Convenor), Sonja Luz, Mir M. Mansoor, R. Marimuthu, Paul Pearce-Kelly, Craig Pugh, S.C. Sharma, Sarhid Sood, Kazu Takami, Kumiko Yoneda

Summary

The Conservation Genetics Specialist Group (CGSG) was formed in 2014. Undoubtedly, CBSG and CGSG will provide assistance to each other and collaborate on joint initiatives, and it will be valuable for the CBSG community to provide input at this early stage of development of this specialist group. This working group was an opportunity to discuss what this input might consist of, and to consider areas of potential synergy between the two specialist groups.

This working group discussed these questions:

- Are there any concerns about the establishment of CGSG?
- What issues would the CBSG community want to see CGSG tackle? What can they do for us (within reasonable limits)?
- How can CGSG and CBSG work together on issues of mutual interest and concern?

The working group produced a list of non-prioritized points related to all three of these questions.

Next Steps

There were several concerns, including the importance of having good geographic representation in CGSG to cover global needs, and the desire to make sure CBSG tools remain within CBSG and its partners, and not be presumed to be transferred to CGSG.

The group identified many topics upon which CGSG can provide support to CBSG, including: the need for forensic genetics (captive and wild), building capacity, and understanding of the power and limitations of the new genomics tools; guidance on hindrances to implementing conservation genetics actions (regulatory, property rights, etc.); guidance on managing metapopulations; and help with sorting out taxonomic uncertainty.

CBSG and CGSG can work together by:

- Identifying a liaison “team” that would be members of both CBSG and CGSG
- Planning occasional back-to-back meetings
- Identifying a few specific projects for a CBSG-CGSG collaborative effort
- Reciprocal exchanging of newsletters and other forms of communication
- Having CGSG review and input on conservation plans facilitated by CBSG

Bob Lacy will pass these issues on to the chairs of the CGSG.

Working Group Index

CBSG/Conservation Genetics SG.....	4
Asian Species Action Partnership.....	5
Climate Reality Conservation Package.....	6
Collaborative Conservation Breeding in India.....	7
Wildlife Disease Risk Analysis	8
IUCN <i>Ex Situ</i> /Reintroduction Guidelines	9
IUCN Guidelines for Confiscated Animals	10
Dysfunctional Zoos	11
Species Conservation Toolkit Initiative.....	12



Working Group Summary

Asian Species Action Partnership (ASAP)

Participants

Renuka Bandaranayake, Mark Craig, Danny de Man, Susie Ellis, David Field, Myfanwy Griffith, Markus Gusset, Bengt Holst, Enayet Hossain, Kira Husher, Deborah Jensen, Joerg Junhold, Caroline Lees, Sonja Luz, Jansen Manansang, Phil Miller, A.K. Paltra, B.P.S. Parehar, Mark Pilgrim, Pichirikkat Rajeev Raghavan, Madhu Rao (Convenor), Ivan Rehak, William Robichaud (Convenor), Christoph Schwitzer, Elizabeth Townsend, Kathy Traylor-Holzer, A.B.M. Shahid Ullah

Summary

Vertebrates in Southeast Asia are among the most critically endangered in the world. The Asian Species Action Partnership, an IUCN SSC initiative, is a consortium of institutions committed to saving the (ASEAN + E. Timor) region's threatened vertebrates on the brink of extinction. The goal of the working group was to raise awareness of the ASAP initiative and eligible ASAP species, with the objective of identifying a role for zoos and aquaria in further engagement with the initiative. During the first session, working group participants emphasized the need to generate a manageable list of species in order to find a good fit for resources and expertise of the CBSG community. Within this context, several filters were considered necessary to apply to the ASAP species list. These filters included the list of AZE species, Red List recommendations for captive breeding, ISIS data, and species in captivity with studbooks. Following this, the group identified the need to develop a clear action plan for ASAP and identify ambassador species that would help zoos and aquaria identify potential areas for engagement.

Recommendations

The recommendations from the working group can be categorized broadly into two groups of activities. In the first, a recommended action plan for ASAP would help define the "product" by a grouping of species under relevant themes, thus generating a manageable list of species and a series of manageable projects and products. The second set of actions relate to the "marketing" of the product by the identification of species champions and establishing a communication strategy for engaging across audiences including CBSG, the zoo/aquaria community, the broader conservation community, and the general public.

Recommendations from the group included the following:

- Development of an action plan for ASAP
- Completion and revision of the ambassador species selection
- Recommendations from ASAP to SEAZA and other regional zoo associations
- Review of marketing through additional lenses (e.g., degree of community engagement)
- Distribution of the working group results

[Click for full working group report.](#)



Working Group Summary

Climate Reality Community Conservation Package

Participants

Yara Barros, Martin Bauert, Onnie Byers, Frands Carlsen, Bryan Carroll, Mark Craig, B.A. Daniel, Jo Gipps, Brawin Kumar, Lena Lindén, Rachel Lowry, R. Marimuthu, S. Martina, Paul Pearce-Kelly, Stephanie Sanderson, Madelon Willemsen (Convenor)

Background

Madelon Willemsen led the pro-bono development of a creative idea by GPY&R / WPP (marketing firm) to assist the zoo and aquarium (Z&A) community in collectively communicating climate action to their visitors and wider audiences. This is a potential new initiative and component of the Zoos and Aquariums for 350 climate change movement launched in 2013. This creative idea links animals and species directly to man-made climate change. It highlights how humans are the cause, but that they can also make a change for the better: "It's our story. Help us to write a better ending." The idea and the accompanying materials were shared with working group members for their input and consideration. [Click to see the communications materials produced by GPY&R / WPP.](#)

Summary

The creative idea was supported in theory by the working group participants. Furthermore it was agreed that this opportunity should not be missed as the community normally does not have access to such 'costly' opportunities. The group agreed that the idea should be shared and presented to determine if zoos and aquariums around the world would be interested and willing to adopt this concept.

The general Z&A community should be engaged and involved in the development of a visitor-based climate action initiative to realize the full benefits and potential of the creative idea. The materials are gorgeous and compelling. While a great deal of work has already gone into creating these materials, they are in draft form and adaptable to the needs of the Z&A community, so that we can ensure they work perfectly with the refined purpose of the project and so that others can have input into and ownership of the final product.

The group arrived at a possible overall objective of this project:

The worldwide conservation community amplifying their global voice calling for action on climate change, resulting in policy changes to reduce emissions.

"The community will use their voices to write a better ending."

This creative work creates a strong link between man-made climate change and the survival of animal species in the collections of Z&A, so that their visitors are compelled to change their own behaviors, and influence the decisions that politicians make in addressing the situation.

[Click for full working group report.](#)



Working Group Summary

Collaborative Conservation Breeding Efforts in India

Participants

R. Yesoda Bai, Andy Beer, Jacob V. Cheeran, John Corder, Susie Ellis, Anil Garg, Alankar Jha, Brawin Kumar, Himanshu Malhotra, Siddhartha Mohanty, S. Panda, S.K. Patnaik, A.K. Patra, Vibhu Prakash, Arun R.S., Ajai Saxena, Brij Raj Sharma, Disha Sharma, S.C. Sharma, Sandeep Kr. Tiwan, P.C. Tyagi (Convenor)

Summary

The working group deliberated on the salient features of the collaborative conservation breeding program in India. The discussion revolved around the information presented to participants about the program in India and the issues flagged in the presentation. The group discussed policy framework, the Central Zoo Authority of India's strategy towards conservation breeding of endangered species, the prioritization of these species, the status of the conservation breeding program launched in India, and barriers to success.

The Central Zoo Authority was established by the Government of India as a Statutory Authority in 1992 to oversee the functioning of the zoos in the country and to enforce the minimum standards and norms for the upkeep and health care of animals in Indian zoos. One of the important functions of the authority is to identify endangered species of wild animals for the purpose of conservation breeding and assign responsibility in this regard to a zoological park, and also to coordinate research in captive breeding. The Central Zoo Authority has identified 26 priority endangered species based on scientific criteria for the conservation breeding program. This is a flagship program of the government, and it has been launched in collaboration with different zoos/states located in the geographic distribution of the species. The Authority has also identified the coordinating and participating zoos for the targeted species and provides funds for appropriate off-exhibit breeding enclosures, furnishing/enrichment, and facilities for research and veterinary health care management in the conservation breeding centers in the identified coordinating zoos. The centers maintain records and mark the animals. The Authority has also assisted the centers in preparing the species recovery and conservation breeding plans. The program is a joint venture of *in situ* and *ex situ* wildlife managers.

Recommendations

The participants determined recommendations for the following topics:

- Housing and infrastructure
- Technical support and capacity building
- Policy / funding commitments
- Genetic founders

[Click for full working group report.](#)



Working Group Summary

Wildlife Disease Risk Analysis (DRA)

Participants

Anil M. Anjankar, N.V.K. Ashraf, Bipul Chakrabarty, S. Chandrasekar, Suzanne Gendron, Heribert Hofer, Priyanka Iyer, Rajkumar Jadhav, Richard Jakob-Hoff (Convenor), A.K. Jha, Keerthi Krutha, Caroline Lees, Kristin Leus, Sonja Luz, Khyne U. Mar, Mir Mansoor, Phil Miller, Sanjay Molur, S. Panda, S.K. Patnaik, Paul Pearce-Kelly, R.K. Sahu, Stephanie Sanderson, Disha Sharma, Kazu Takami, P.C. Tyagi

Summary

This session provided an introduction to the DRA process as described in the new IUCN guidelines and the *Manual of Procedures for Wildlife Disease Risk Analysis*, published this year and endorsed by the IUCN Species Survival Commission and the World Organisation for Animal Health (OIE). The DRA process is a structured, evidence-based, and transparent process to assist decision making for the complex situations involving wildlife and disease. The group discussed diseases faced by the wildlife of the countries represented at the meeting, including the many new emerging diseases such as chytridiomycosis of amphibians and continuing changes in land use, climate, and other environmental factors. In Japan, avian influenza is of major concern, and foot-and-mouth disease (FMD) is an emerging threat to deer and wild boar as well as livestock. In Hong Kong, chytrid fungus has recently been found. This disease is also a concern to five threatened species of amphibians in the Western Ghats of India. In Myanmar, there is a concern about tuberculosis spread due to free movement between domestic and wild elephants and contact with livestock.

The group then discussed how the DRA process could be best implemented in both *ex situ* and *in situ* situations and brainstormed the following action steps.

Recommendations

- Develop a simplified template for day-to-day use in zoos.
- Train trainers in each region to facilitate global uptake of the DRA process.
- Develop e-learning modules for distance education.
- Establish a database of case studies for use by others.
- Explore options for translation of the guidelines and manual.

[Click for full working group report.](#)



Working Group Summary

IUCN Guidelines for *Ex Situ* Management and Translocation Guidelines

Participants

N.V.K. Ashraf, Andy Beer, Jonathan Ballou, Bipul Chakrabarty, Akanksha Chowdhary, John Corder, Gerald Dick, K.L. Ghosh, Priyanka Iyer, Jai Kishor Jadiya, Keerthi Krutha, Kristin Leus (Convenor), Neil Maddison, Esther Manansang, Siddhartha Mohanty, Sanjay Molur (Convenor), Tejaswini Patil, Craig Pugh, R. Yesoda Bai, Upashna Rai, B.P. Ravi, K. Shaker Reddy, Bhupen Roka, Arun R.S., Shuichi Sakata, Kartick Satyanarayan, S.C. Sharma, Harpal Singh, Shailendra Singh, Sushil Sood, J.B. Subba, SunDuk Park, Sorang Tadap, Kazu Takami, Yas Tomita, Kathy Traylor-Holzer (Convenor), Hans Winata, Kumiko Yoneda

Summary

This working group provided an overview of two newly revised IUCN guidelines—specifically, those outlining *ex situ* management for conservation and those guiding conservation translocations—and how these guidelines might be applied as part of an integrated species conservation planning process. These complementary guidelines each outline a decision-making process that recommends factors to be considered before deciding whether to implement these forms of population management for conservation purposes. Steps include a status review and threat analysis, identification of potential conservation roles and strategies, determination of required program structure, and assessment of feasibility, costs, and risks before an informed decision is made to undertake *ex situ* or translocation conservation activities.

Contributing authors described the content and scope of these guidelines along with examples of how they have already been applied in several CBSG species conservation planning workshops by both the *in situ* and *ex situ* conservation communities. Important clarifying points included that the *ex situ* guidelines apply to existing as well as new *ex situ* programs of all types (including genome resource and seed banks) and for all taxa (including invertebrates and plants). Participants provided input on important information to be included in accompanying annexes.

Recommendations

1. Include important references and relevant case studies in the supporting materials, including “failures” and lessons learned as well as successes. Participants were asked to submit examples of projects in which they have been involved.
2. Provide risk assessment advice in the supporting materials and identify knowledgeable point people.
3. Provide relevant IUCN guidelines to the local community at the beginning of a project and in the local language.
4. Develop a PowerPoint that can be used in training and educating people regarding the guidelines and the decision-making process for *ex situ* activities and conservation translocations.

[Click for full working group report.](#)



Working Group Summary

IUCN Guidelines for the Placement of Confiscated Animals

Participants

Bipul Chakrabarty, Akanksha Chowdray, Anna Croukamp, Kanaslal Ghosh, Myfanwy Griffith, Enayit Hossain, Kira Husher, Richard Jakob-Hoff, B. Joseph, Sonja Luz, Neil Maddison (Convenor), Esther Manansang, Jansen Manansang, Tejaswini A. Patil, Craig Pugh, B.P. Ravi, Bhupen Roka, Shuichi Sakata, Kartick Satyanarayan, Christoph Schwitzer, Yasumasa Tomita, A.B.M. Shahid Ullah, Kumiko Yoneda

Summary

IUCN is re-drafting the current “Guidelines on the Placement of Confiscated Animals.” The aim of the group was to comment on the latest draft (with a proposed amended title of “Guidelines for the Management of Confiscated Species”) and make suggestions for changes, such that the guidelines can be used as a practical tool for managing authorities (MAs).

Rationale:

- Situations change
- New knowledge (shared knowledge)
- New initiatives
- Cultural perspectives
- Develop as a practical operational tool – supporting the confiscating authorities

In order to produce a “product” that is as useful as possible to those making decisions on the management of confiscated species, we need to ...

- Take into account current practices
- Produce guidelines based on implications, risks, benefits, and feasibility
- Make them as locally relevant as possible, e.g., language, culture, laws
- Produce a “user-friendly toolkit” for decision making
- Incorporate training suggestions

Next Steps

- Development of the revised guidelines is a work in progress
- Take the (excellent and very much appreciated!) feedback from the group into consideration
- Some highly valuable information has been suggested, and leads to some further questions, for example:
 - How can the guidelines be made locally relevant, e.g., language, legalities, culture?
 - How can the conservation world support the decision making, i.e., resources, “tool-kit”?
 - What format would be most useful, e.g., wall chart, decision tree, matrix?
 - How can training take place, e.g., use of regional offices, partners?

[Click for full working group report.](#)



Working Group Summary

Dysfunctional Zoos

Participants

Martina Anandam, Anne Baker, Yara Barros, Martin Bauert, Jacob V. Cheeran, Anna Croukamp, Latha Ravikumar, Maria Clara Dominguez, Anil Garg, Sarita Jnawali, Manoj Misra, Siddhartha Mohanty, Kirsten Pullen, Disha Sharma, Surinder Suneja, Sally Walker (Convenor), John Werth

Summary

The working group meeting spanned two working sessions. The first session focused on identifying criteria that should be used to define a “good” zoo, dividing the criteria into three categories of components: must do, should do within a reasonable time frame, and aspirational. The second working session was spent in discussion of how a country or region might go about implementing a process for raising the standards within that country. There was unanimous agreement that some sort of zoo legislation and the correct implementation of that legislation was essential. The group then went on to explore various situations that might exist within a country. The relationship between the zoos (or zoo association) and the government was seen as critical to developing and implementing zoo legislation. Finally, the group emphasized the importance of insuring that zoos had appropriate resources and identified a number of ways which zoos might acquire these resources.

Recommendations

The output from this working group is in the form of recommendations, providing a template that zoos and/or zoo associations might follow in improving the quality of zoos and aquariums within their countries.

1. A zoo and/or aquarium is defined as any facility that has wild (non-domestic) animals and is open to the public on a regular basis.
2. Critical (must-have) components of a good zoo: good animal welfare (healthy animals exhibiting a range of special-typical behaviors); environments that are safe for animals, staff, and visitors; basic record keeping; a masterplan for the facility with clear, achievable goals; an animal collection plan; and a sound financial plan.
3. There must be an inspection process that utilizes the criteria identified above.
4. Steps to improving zoos/aquariums within a country or region:
 - Zoos/aquariums that are interested should develop an agreed-upon plan for improving zoos/aquariums in their country.
 - All zoos/aquariums in the country must be identified.
 - There must be a dialogue between the zoo/aquarium community and the government in order to enact zoo legislation. There are a number of routes by which this can be established.
5. Zoos/aquariums must either receive sufficient funding from the government or some other source, and/or must be allowed to develop revenue-generation activities that will allow them to make necessary improvements.

[Click for full working group report.](#)



Working Group Summary

Species Conservation Toolkit Initiative

Participants

Jonathan Ballou (Convenor), Danny de Man, Markus Gusset, Keerthi Krutha, Bob Lacy (Convenor), Caroline Lees, Khyne U. Mar, Phil Miller, Mark Pilgrim, Kazu Takami, Kathy Traylor-Holzer

Summary

The purpose of the Species Conservation Tools Initiative (SCTI) includes:

1. To develop and support the next generation of tool developers with skills in population biology, computer programming, and conservation science, while ensuring that the core software tools continue to be validated, well-tested, and thoroughly documented.
2. To continue the evolution of *VORTEX* as a highly flexible population modeling tool that can be used to integrate conservation assessment and planning across the spectrum of levels and kinds of intensive wildlife management.
3. To continue the ongoing development of the innovative metamodeling framework for linking analyses into comprehensive, integrated assessments of the many threats affecting wildlife populations.

The working group discussed these topics:

1. Questions about the initiative
2. How to manage the process of future development?
 - a. Incorporating new ideas
 - b. Setting priorities
3. Suggestions of updates or new needs

Next Steps

These were some of the requests for new functionality:

For *PMx*:

1. Better regional analyses. Simultaneously evaluate and compare what is going on in different regions. Value of individuals in different regions.
2. How can non-ISIS members (no access to SPARKS, ZIMS) use *PMx*? Design an Excel file template for basic import of most important data into *PMx*.
3. More flexibility in life tables – build components of the life table from multiple sources.

For *VORTEX*:

1. Need PVA models that can deal with different levels of data, e.g., just census data, stage-based data, age/sex specific.
2. Develop a *VORTEX* reference library to import default population variables for a taxon type, e.g., import typical small antelope values.
3. Evaluate impact of uncertainty in entered parameters on results.
4. Need to better link into *VORTEX*: GIS data, projection of landscape changes over time, models for changes in human habitat use.

Questions about the Initiative:

1. This is still just a stop-gap initiative. Short-term solution. Need a much larger initiative for an international population management software center.
2. Concern about competing requests for support and updates (e.g., *VORTEX* requests vs. *PMx*). How to prioritize? Maybe an advisory team of subject matter experts?
3. How feasible is it for one programmer to do this all?

These concerns will be passed on the SCTI partnership leadership (Bob Lacy and Jon Ballou were in the working group), and the suggestions will be added to the “To Do” lists of *PMx* and *VORTEX*.



CBSG Regional Network News

CBSG Australasia

Convenors: Richard Jakob-Hoff and Caroline Lees

CBSG Australasia has completed its sixth year of operation and has developed a positive profile within the region's *in situ* and *ex situ* wildlife conservation communities. This is reflected in the increasing requests for our training, planning, and facilitation services as detailed in this report. During 2013-2014, we have been actively involved in planning work for the following local species: bilbies, kokako, mala, Norfolk Island green parrots, regent honeyeaters, southern corroboree frogs, and takahē.



The Network continues to pursue the following priorities:

- Development and promotion of wildlife disease risk assessment and management tools
- Deployment of virtual tools for remote collaboration and training
- Continuing development of small population management tools
- Project evaluation

[Click for more details on CBSG Australasia activities.](#)

CBSG Brasil

Convenor: Arnaud Desbiez



CBSG Brasil was founded in 2004. CBSG Brasil is not based at any institution and is run voluntarily by four members with the support of the Royal Zoological Society of Scotland. Members are a wildlife biologist, a veterinarian, a GIS expert, and a zoo professional, each working for a different institution. The convenor, Arnaud Desbiez, is the Conservation Manager for Latin America at the Royal Zoological Society of Scotland (RZSS) based in Campo Grande, Mato Grosso do Sul State, Brazil. Fabiana Lopes Rocha has a post-doctoral position at FioCruz, Rio de Janeiro City, Rio de Janeiro State. Katia Ferraz is a professor at ESALQ, Piracicaba, São Paulo. Our newest member Yara Barros is the technical director of the Parque das Aves in Foz do Iguaçu, Paraná.

During the Regional Network meeting of February 2013, it was decided that CBSG Brasil would work hard to get involved with the Brazilian *ex situ* community. Yara Barros has now joined our small team as representative of the *ex situ* community.

Yara is well positioned for this endeavor, as she is also the president of the Brazilian Zoo and Aquarium Association. Thanks to Yara we hope to be able share CBSG tools, contacts, and ideas with the Brazilian zoo and aquarium community. Welcome Yara!

Since the last CBSG Annual Meeting we have successfully run several workshops and attended various meetings, as well as engaged with numerous partners regarding potential workshops.

Recent activities include:

- Action plan for the Brazilian Society of Zoos and Aquaria (SZB)
- CBSG Brasil partnership with the Brazilian Center for the Study of Road Ecology
- Participation in Zoos & Aquariums for 350

[Click for more details on CBSG Brasil activities.](#)

CBSG Regional Network News

CBSG Europe

Convenor: Bengt Holst



CBSG Europe's activities in the past year include:

- Revision of the IUCN SSC Guidelines on the Use of *Ex Situ* Management for Species Conservation
- Facilitation Skills Training for Conservation Managers
- Adjusting the regional collection planning process for the EAZA Callitrichidae Taxon Advisory Group
- Red panda conservation planning in India and Bhutan
- Population management of *ex situ* ungulate populations at the King Khalid Wildlife Research Centre

[Click for more details on CBSG Europe activities.](#)

CBSG Mesoamerica

Convenor: Yolanda Matamoros



CBSG Mesoamerica acts as a linking organization among different stakeholders, including regional zoos, academia, field research, *ex situ* management, government, and the community. CBSG Mesoamerica helps set species conservation priorities and actions through workshops, facilitation experience, and computer tools, fulfilling a need for science-based conservation planning. Our aim is to maintain and create new contacts in our region to continue supporting conservation efforts for Mesoamerican wildlife using CBSG's conservation planning tools and methodologies. CBSG Mesoamerica has contributed to over 60 conservation workshops, collaborating with more than 100 organizations working on *in situ* and *ex situ* conservation in the region.

CBSG Mesoamerica's recent activities include:

- Update of Costa Rican National Red Lists for amphibians and reptiles
- *VORTEX 10* Course
- International Symposium on Conservation of Felids in America

[Click for more details on these CBSG Mesoamerica activities.](#)

CBSG Indonesia

Convenor: Jansen Manansang

CBSG Indonesia organizes many conservation activities in Indonesia through seminars, workshops, and campaigns. This year, some of the activities carried out were:

- CBSG Indonesia supported CBSG's initiative of Zoos & Aquariums for 350.
- Earth Day 2014 was organized by Taman Safari Indonesia to invite the leaders of Islam and Catholic communities to participate. Approximately 10,000 trees were planted.
- The first National Conference for Javan Leopards took place.

[Click for more details on CBSG Indonesia activities.](#)



CBSG Regional Network News

CBSG South Asia

Convenors: Sally Walker and Sanjay Molur

CBSG South Asia is active in Afghanistan, Bangladesh, Bhutan, India, Nepal, Pakistan, and Sri Lanka. We work closely with IUCN SSC CBSG, other IUCN specialist groups, our own taxon and thematic networks, and host organizations (ZOO, WILD, and SAZARC). CBSG India, started by Sally Walker in 1990, was the first CBSG regional network. It was expanded into CBSG South Asia in 2000.

CBSG South Asia's recent initiatives include:

- Chiroptera Research Techniques and Conservation workshop in Bangladesh
- CEPF/IUCN workshop on freshwater key biodiversity areas in Kerala and Tamil Nadu
- Sensitizing local communities in India for conservation of the declining greater one-horned rhino
- PHVA workshop for the red panda

[Click for more details on CBSG South Asia activities.](#)



CBSG North America

Convenors: Anne Baker and Philip Nyhus



CBSG North America came into being in early March 2013. It is hosted by the Saint Louis Zoo and co-convened by Anne Baker, Ph.D., executive director of Amphibian Ark; and Philip Nyhus, Ph.D., Assistant Professor of Environmental Studies, Colby College. Geographically, CBSG North America includes Canada and the United States of America.

2014 was the first full year for the CBSG North America network. Activities included:

- Participation in Jacksonville Zoo conservation planning workshop
- Assisting the Brazilian Zoo Association with strategic planning
- Coordinating a CBSG Facilitation Training Course
- Participation on AZA task force charged with developing criteria for selecting species for AZA SAFE program
- Co-facilitating Kansas City Zoo Strategic Planning workshops
- Development of a white paper reviewing USFWS Recovery Planning process with CBSG HQ
- Planning for Southern Woodland Caribou workshop with CBSG HQ (workshop was subsequently cancelled)



CBSG Japan

CBSG Japan has recently reorganized and Kumiko Yoneda (Japan Wildlife Research Center) is the new convenor. Kumiko participated in the 2014 Regional Network meeting, where expectations and roles for CBSG regional networks were clarified and future directions were discussed. CBSG Japan plans to organize a workshop in 2015 for a priority regional species.



CBSG Regional Network News

CBSG Mexico

Convenor: Luis Carrillo

CBSG Mexico was founded in 1995 in response to the needs of endangered species conservation in Mexico. It is based at Zoofari, a safari park located in Morelos, Mexico. CBSG Mexico's convenor is Luis Carrillo, who works at Zoofari as General Curator. CBSG Mexico's mission is to catalyze conservation actions in Mexico, assisting in the development of holistic conservation programs for threatened species and ecosystems.

CBSG Mexico's recent activities include:

- Strategic planning workshop for the Colombian Zoos and Aquarium Association (ACOPAZOA)
- Strategic planning for Santacruz Zoo

[Click for more details on CBSG Mexico activities.](#)



CBSG Southern Africa

Convenor: Mike Jordan

CBSG Southern Africa (CBSG – SA) has had another hectic year. Each year we get involved in more diverse projects with more partners, and this in turn brings requests to become involved with other threatened species and other conservation activities. Since the 2013 Annual Meeting in Orlando, Florida, we have participated in more than 25 conservation planning meetings, workshops, and survey and training sessions. Our single species conservation planning has continued with the work on several focal species escalating. The regional network has become increasingly involved in running training sessions on *ex situ* conservation roles planning, based on the new draft *IUCN Guidelines on the Use of Ex Situ Management for Species Conservation*, as well as survey and techniques training for invertebrates following our work on conservation priorities for South African invertebrates. The close relationship between CBSG – SA and the conservation work of the Pan-African Association of Zoos & Aquaria (PAAZA) continues, and support has been given to all of the nine African Preservation Programmes (APPs). The official host of CBSG – SA is in the process of changing, and the offices will no longer be at the National Zoo in Pretoria. We thank them for their previous support, and discussions are ongoing with a potential new host.

CBSG Southern Africa's recent activities include:

- Conservation planning for African penguins and wattled cranes
- Species prioritization and conservation roles planning
- Survey and techniques training
- Work with PAAZA on conservation breeding programs and APPs

[Click for more details on CBSG Southern Africa activities.](#)



2014 CBSG Annual Meeting Participants

African Association of Zoos and Aquariums (PAAZA)

John Werth

Aizawl Zoological Park

Saurabh Sharma

Al Ain Zoo

Ghanim Al Hajeri
Mark Craig

Alipore Zoological Garden

K.L. Ghosh

Assam State Zoo

Chandan Bora

Association of Zoos and Aquariums (AZA)

Candice Dorsey

Auckland Zoo/CBSG Australasia

Richard Jakob-Hoff

Avian Society of India

Anil Garg
Siddhartha Mohanty

Bhagwan Birsa Biological Park

A.K. Patra

Bristol Zoo Gardens

Bryan Carroll
Neil Maddison
Christoph Schwitzer

British and Irish Association of Zoos and Aquariums (BIAZA)

Kirsten Pullen

Cali Zoological Foundation

Maria Clara Dominguez

CBSG

Onnie Byers
Jo Gipps
Caroline Lees
Philip Miller
Elizabeth Townsend
Kathy Traylor-Holzer

CBSG North America/Amphibian Ark

Anne Baker

Centre for Environment Education

Kartikeya Sarabhai

Central Veterinary Hospital, Dhaka Zoo

A.B.M. Shahidullah

Central Zoo Authority, India

Bishan Singh Bonal
Brij Kishor Gupta

Chester Zoo

Mark Pilgrim
Stephanie Sanderson

Chicago Zoological Society

Robert Lacy

Chittagong Zoo

Mongur Morshed Chowdhury

Colombo Zoo

Renuka Bandaranayake

Conservation Research Group

Pichirikkat Rajeev Raghavan

Copenhagen Zoo/CBSG Europe

Frands Carlsen
Bengt Holst
Kristin Leus

Dhaka Zoo

Enayet Hossain

European Association of Zoos and Aquaria (EAZA)

Danny de Man
Myfanwy Griffith

Himalayan Zoological Park

J.B. Subba

India Eco Edge Consultancy

Mir M. Mansoor

Indira Gandhi Zoological Park

Gamineni Ramalingam

Institute of Environmental Sciences

Hans de longh

International Rhino Foundation

Susie Ellis

Itanagar Biological Park

Sorang Tadap

IUCN - India

P.R. Sinha

IUCN SSC

Kira Husher
Madhu Rao

Japan Wildlife Research Center

Kumiko Yoneda

Leibniz Institute for Zoo and Wildlife Research

Heribert Hofer

M.C. Zoological Park

Harpal Singh

Manipur Zoological Garden

Arun R.S.

Minnesota Zoological Garden

Lee Ehmke

Nainital High Altitude Zoo

Tejaswini Arvind Patil

Nandankanan Biological Park

S. Panda

Nandanvan Zoo

Jaykishore Jadiya

National Zoological Gardens of Sri Lanka

Anura De Silva

National Zoological Park

Amitabh Agnihotri

Nehru Zoological Park

B.N.N. Murthy

NTNC - Central Zoo

Sarita Jnawali

Ocean Park Corporation

Suzanne Gendron

Osaka Zoo

Kazutoshi Takami

Parque Das Aves

Yara Barros
Anna Croukamp

PEACE Institute Charitable Trust

Manoj Kumar Misra

PNHZ Park, Darjeeling India

Alankar K. Jha

Prague Zoo

Ivan Rehak

Pygmy Hog Conservation Programme

Goutam Narayan

Saola Working Group

William Robichaud

SeaWorld Parks & Entertainment

Brad Andrews

Seoul Zoo

SunDuk Park

Continued on next page ...

2014 CBSG Annual Meeting Participants (continued)

Sepahijala Zoological Park

K.G. Roy

Smithsonian Conservation Biology Institute

Jonathan Ballou

Smithsonian National Zoological Park

Dennis Kelly

Sparsholt College Hampshire

Andy Beer

Sri Chamarajendra Zoological Garden

B.P. Ravi

Sri Venkateswara Zoological Park

R. Yesoda Bai

Stiftelsen Nordens Ark

Lena M. Lindén

Taman Safari Indonesia

Esther Manansang
Jansen Manansang
Hans Thomas Winata

Tampa's Lowry Park Zoo

Craig Pugh

Tata Steel Zoological Park

Bipul Chakrabarty

Thiruvananthapuram Zoo

B. Joseph

Tokyo Zoological Park Society

Shuichi Sakata
Yasumasa Tomita

Turtle Survival Alliance

Shailendra Singh
Disha Sharma

University of Sheffield

Khyne U. Mar

Van Vihar National Park

B.P.S. Parihar

Vulture Conservation Breeding Centre

Vibhu Prakash

West Bengal Zoo Authority

Brij Raj Sharma

Wildlife Information Liaison Development Society

Martina Anandam
Keerthi Krutha

Wildlife Institute of India

B.C. Choudhary
P.C. Tyagi

Wildlife Jaipur Zoo

Akanksha Chowdhury

Wildlife Reserves Singapore

Sonja Luz

Wildlife SOS

Kartick Satyanarayan
Geeta Seshamani

Wildlife Trust of India

N.V.K. Ashraf

Wildlife Wing (H.P. Forest Department)

Sushil Sood

Woodland Park Zoo

Deborah Jensen

World Association of Zoos and Aquariums (WAZA)

Gerald Dick
Markus Gusset

World Pheasant Association

John Corder

Zoo Leipzig

Joerg Junhold

Zoo Outreach Organisation/CBSG South Asia

B.A. Daniel
Latha G. Ravikumar
R. Marimuthu
Sanjay Molur
R. Brawin Kumar
Priyanka Iyer
Sally Walker

Zoo Zürich

Martin Bauert

Zoological Society of London

David Field
Paul Pearce-Kelly

Zoos Victoria

Rachel Lowry

No Listed Affiliation

Madelon Willemsen

CBSG Donors

\$25,000 and above  MINNESOTA ZOO <i>Changing how you see the world</i> -Office Sponsor  OMAHA'S HENRY DOORLY ZOO  Saint Louis Zoo <i>Animals Always*</i>  George Rabb *  SEAWORLD PARKS & ENTERTAINMENT	\$20,000 and above  ZOO * COPENHAGEN  ZSL LIVING CONSERVATION  Toronto Zoo  WILDLIFE CONSERVATION SOCIETY  World Association of Zoos and Aquariums WAZA United for Conservation*	\$15,000 and above  CHESTER ZOO *  ANIMAL KINGDOM  Chicago Zoological Society * <i>Inspiring Conservation Leadership</i>  COLUMBUS ZOO AND AQUARIUM  Wilds
---	--	---

\$10,000 and above

Auckland Zoological Park
 Dallas World Aquarium*
 Houston Zoo*
 San Diego Zoo Global
 Taronga Conservation Society
 Australia
 Zoo Leipzig*
 Zoo Zürich*

The Living Desert
 Linda Malek
 Milwaukee County Zoo
 North Carolina Zoological Park
 Oregon Zoo
 Paignton Zoo
 Royal Zoological Society of
 Antwerp
 San Francisco Zoo
 Swedish Association of Zoological
 Parks & Aquaria (SAZA)
 Twycross Zoo
 Union of German Zoo Directors
 (VDZ)
 Utah's Hogle Zoo
 Wassenaar Wildlife Breeding
 Centre
 Wilhelma Zoo
 Zoo Frankfurt
 Zoologischer Garten Köln
 Zoologischer Garten Rostock

Seoul Zoo
 Skansen Akvariet
 Taipei Zoo
 Thrigby Hall Wildlife
 Gardens
 Woodland Park Zoo
 Zoo and Aquarium Association
 (ZAA)
 Zoological Society of Wales –
 Welsh Mountain Zoo
 Zoos South Australia

Arizona-Sonora Desert
 Museum
 Gerald & Lois Borin
 Chris Byers & Kathy Vila
 International Centre for Birds of
 Prey
 Lee Richardson Zoo
 Lion Country Safari
 Mohawk Fine Papers
 Roger Williams Park Zoo
 Rolling Hills Wildlife Adventure
 Sacramento Zoo
 Safari de Peaugres
 Steinhart Aquarium
 Tautphaus Park Zoo
 Jacqueline Vlietstra

\$5,000 and above

Al Ain Wildlife Park & Resort
 Association of Zoos & Aquariums
 (AZA)
 Anne Baker & Robert Lacy
 British and Irish Association of Zoos
 and Aquariums (BIAZA)
 Cleveland Metroparks Zoo
 Detroit Zoological Society
 Lincoln Park Zoo
 Nordens Ark*
 Ocean Park Conservation
 Foundation, Hong Kong*
 Perth Zoo*
 Point Defiance Zoo &
 Aquarium
 Schönbrunner Tiergarten – Zoo
 Vienna*
 Sedgwick County Zoo
 Smithsonian National Zoological
 Park

\$1,000 and above

Aalborg Zoo
 African Safari Wildlife Park &
 International Animal Exchange,
 Inc.
 Akron Zoological Park
 Audubon Zoo
 Central Zoo Authority, India
 Colchester Zoo
 Dallas Zoo
 Everland Zoological Gardens
 Fort Wayne Children's Zoo
 Fota Wildlife Park
 Fundación Parques Reunidos
 Fundación Temaikèn
 Kansas City Zoo
 Los Angeles Zoo
 Odense Zoo
 Palm Beach Zoo at Dreher Park
 Prudence P. Perry
 Philadelphia Zoo
 Rotterdam Zoo
 Royal Zoological Society of Scotland
 – Edinburgh Zoo
 San Antonio Zoo

\$500 and above

Abilene Zoological
 Gardens
 Apenheul Primate Park
 Ed Asper
 Banham Zoo
 Mark Barone
 Bramble Park Zoo
 Brandywine Zoo
 Cameron Park Zoo
 Cotswold Wildlife Park
 David Traylor Zoo of
 Emporia
 Friends of the Rosamond Gifford
 Zoo
 GaiaPark – Kerkrade Zoo
 Jacksonville Zoo & Gardens
 Knuthenborg Safaripark
 Lisbon Zoo
 Little Rock Zoo
 Katey & Mike Pelican
 Edward & Marie Plotka
 Racine Zoological Society
 Riverbanks Zoo & Garden
 Tom Staff
 Tokyo Zoological Park
 Society
 Topeka Zoo
 Wellington Zoo
 Wildlife World Zoo &
 Aquarium
 Zoo de la Palmyre

\$100 and above

Alameda Park Zoo
 Aquarium of the Bay
 Jeffrey Bonner
 Lee Ehmke
 El Paso Zoo
 Elias Sadalla Filho
 Lincoln Children's Zoo
 Miller Park Zoo
 Steven J. Olson
 Zoo Heidelberg

* Denotes CBSG Chair sponsor

CBSG Regional Network Hosts

AMACZOOA & FUNDAZOO
 Auckland Zoo
 Copenhagen Zoo
 Saint Louis Zoo
 Taman Safari Indonesia
 Zoo Outreach Organisation &
 WILD
 Zoofari Mexico

\$2,000 and above

Allwetterzoo Münster
 Alice Andrews
 Borås Djurpark*
 Bristol Zoo Gardens
 Cincinnati Zoo & Botanical
 Garden
 Dickerson Park Zoo
 Dublin Zoo
 European Association of Zoos and
 Aquaria (EAZA)
 Givskud Zoo
 Gladys Porter Zoo
 Japanese Association of Zoos &
 Aquariums (JAZA)
 Laurie Bingaman Lackey

\$250 and above

African Safari – France

CBSG Annual Meeting 2014

CBSG Annual Meeting is published by the Conservation Breeding Specialist Group of the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) Species Survival Commission. The opinions and recommendations expressed in this report reflect the issues discussed and ideas expressed by the participants in the workshop and do not necessarily reflect the formal policies of the IUCN, its Commissions, its Secretariat or its members. This publication exists to inform CBSG members and others concerned with the conservation of plants and animals about the proceeds of our Annual Meeting.

Editor: Emily Wick

CBSG
12101 Johnny Cake Ridge Road
Apple Valley, MN 55124-8151 USA
Phone: 001-952-997-9800
Fax: 001-952-997-9803
website: www.cbsg.org
email: office@cbsg.org



Photo Credits

Onnie Byers
Mark Craig
CZA Photo Library
Elizabeth Townsend

